



Case Number:	civil misc appl 396 of 01[1]
Date Delivered:	23 Nov 2001
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Court of Appeal at Kisumu
Case Action:	-
Judge:	Moiyo Matayia Ole Keiwua
Citation:	ZACHARIA AMENYA & CLEMENT RATEMO NYAMBATI vs POLLICUP MBOYA AGUTU[2001] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-

The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

AT KISUMU

CORAM: KEIWUA, J.A. (IN CHAMBERS)

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. NAI. 396 OF 2001 (UR.209/2001)

BETWEEN

ZACHARIA

AMENYA

..... **1ST APPLICANT**

CLEMENT RATEMO NYAMBATI **2ND APPLICANT**

AND

POLLICUP

MBOYA

AGUTU

..... **RESPONDENT**

R U L I N G

The applicants have appealed from the decision of the superior court delivered on September 28, 2001. The certificate of urgency indicates that they had sought to challenge the decision of the Chief Magistrate's court which prohibited them from tendering evidence in that court. That suit is fixed for hearing on November 29, 2001 before the Magistrate's court.

The applicants are therefore in danger of having the suit in the Magistrate's court heard without the benefit of what they say to be crucial evidence in a test case because the applicants had been absolved from blame for the accident by one of the claimants in the Magistrate's Court.

The respondent opposes the application because it does not merit to be certified urgent. The evidence the applicants wish to produce was by one of the victims of the same accident who had instituted proceedings for damages.

The particular suit by that witness has been described to be a non-starter by the superior court, which found that the applicants had not been diligent in the matters giving rise to the application for stay of proceedings they wished certified urgent. On my part I do not find any basis upon which the application can be certified urgent.

Dated and delivered at Kisumu this 23rd day of November 2001.

M. OLE KEIWUA

JUDGE OF APPEAL I certify that this is a true copy of the original.

DEPUTY REGISTRAR



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)