



Case Number:	Criminal Appeal 17 of 1989
Date Delivered:	06 Dec 1989
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	Court of Appeal at Kisumu
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Johnson Evan Gicheru, Richard Otieno Kwach, James Onyiego Nyarangi
Citation:	Clay Godwin Wambulwa v Republic[1989]eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Kisumu
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Appeal partly allowed
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

AT KISUMU

(Coram: Nyarangi, Gicheru & Kwach, JJA)

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO 17 OF 1989

Between

CLAY GODWIN WAMBULWA..... APPELLANT

AND

REPUBLIC.....RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT

December 6, 1989, **Nyarangi, Gicheru & Kwach, JJA**, delivered the following judgment.

There is before us an appeal from the judgment of the Commissioner of Assize. Behan delivered on 17th June, 1988 dismissing the appellant's appeal against conviction and sentence.

The appeal before us is based on the five grounds of appeal the substance of which is that the appeal was heard in the appellant's absence notwithstanding that he wished to be present.

There is a matter touching on section 359(1) of the Criminal Procedure Code which goes to the root of the appeal. Shields, J acting on a direction by the justice directed that this appeal be heard by two judges. However, on 1May 11, 1988 Mbogholi, J and Commissioner of Assize Behand, purported to vacate the order of Shields, J and as a consequence the appeal was heard by the Commissioner of Assize. With utmost respect, the subsequent direction was an error of law. The two did not have the authority of the chief justice in writing to direct as they purported to do. It follows therefore that the proceedings presided over by the Commissioner of Assize, Behan are a nullity in law.

There is one more matter of relevance. The appellant indicated that he waited to be present at the hearing of the appeal and an order was made for his production at the hearing. On the hearing date, the appellant was absent and unrepresented. That is an incurable irregularity.

For the reasons already stated we set aside the judgment and orders of the High Court and we order that the appeal be herd with dispatch by a bench of two judges other than the two who have dealt with the matter. It follows that the appeal will be heard at Kisumu High Court.

To that limited extent the appeal succeeds. The appellant shall remain in custody until his appeal is heard and determined.

Dated and Delivered at Kisumu this 6th day of December, 1989

J.O NYARANGI

.....
JUDGE OF APPEAL

J.E GICHERU

.....
JUDGE OF APPEAL

R.O KWACH

.....
JUDGE OF APPEAL

I certify that this is a true copy of the original.

DEPUTY REGISTRAR



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)