



Case Number:	Criminal Revision 22 of 2012
Date Delivered:	03 May 2013
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	
Case Action:	-
Judge:	
Citation:	REPUBLIC V JOSEPH OTIENO OGERE[2013]eKLR
Advocates:	Miss Valary , Mr. Osoro
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

Cases in Magistrate Courts

**Criminal Revision 22 of 2012**

REPUBLIC.....PROSECUTOR

VERSUS

JOSEPH OTIENO OGERE.....ACCUSED.

**RULING**

The accused who is charged with murder c/sec. 203 as read with S. 204 of the Penal Code has applied to be released on bond pending the trial.

His Advocate Mr. Osoro urged the court to release him on reasonable terms.

Miss Valery the Learned State Counsel opposed the application on two grounds, viz:-

(a) That the accused person was arrested by members of the public who assaulted him before he was rescued by the police, that if released on bond he may be harmed by the mob.

(b) That he may be tempted to abscond for fear of the mob.

To this Mr. Osoro's response was that the police are abdicating their duty of protecting the public including the accused. That it is the accused's constitutional right to be released on bond and he ought to be so released.

I have considered the application and the rival submissions carefully. The accused is indeed entitled to be released on bond on reasonable conditions unless there are compelling reasons not to release him.

The onus to prove those compelling reasons lies on the State. Miss Valery has asserted 2 grounds but the same are merely statements from the bar as they are not backed by evidence. When the accused person first applied for bond on 18.2.2013 Mr. Mutuku Assistant Director for Public Prosecutions, then representing the State applied for time to file an affidavit. By the time this application was heard, a month later, no affidavit was filed. What does that say? That the State may not have any compelling reason that would warrant this court to withhold bond.

Accordingly bond is granted to the accused on the following conditions:-

- 1. That he shall execute a bond of Kshs. 3 million with 2 substantial sureties of similar amount.***
- 2. That the sureties shall be examined by the Deputy Registrar.***
- 3. That pending the trial the accused person shall attend court for mention of his case once every month first such mention being on 30.5.2013.***

**4. Hearing on 20.6.2013**

Ruling dated, signed and delivered at Homa Bay this.....3rd.....day of.....May.....2013.

**E.N. MAINA**

**JUDGE.**

In the presence of:

Eudice Okombo Dholuo interpreter.

Miss Valary for the Republic

Mr. Osoro for the Accused.

Accused person.



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)