



Case Number:	Criminal Appeal 291 of 1986
Date Delivered:	24 Apr 1987
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Machakos
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Abdulla Mustafa
Citation:	Maithya v Republic[1987] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	appeal dismissed.
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA**

**AT MACHAKOS**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO 291 OF 1986**

**MAITHYA.....APPELLANT**

**V**

**REPUBLIC.....RESPONDENT**

**JUDGMENT**

The appellant was convicted by the learned resident magistrate of burglary contrary to a section 304 (2) of the Penal Code and stealing from the dwelling house contrary to section 279 (b) of the said Code. He was sentenced to concurrent terms of imprisonment for 2½ years on each limb and one stroke each.

The complainant's house was broken into and articles valued about Kshs 20,000 was stolen from therein during the night of June 8, 9, 1986. On June 25, 1986, police raided a certain market in Kitui as a result of several breakages in the Kitui Township. The appellant was apprehended and interrogated. He led police to certain place where some articles of clothing were recovered. He also led the police to a certain watchman where he picked up a suit which he had left there a day before and handed the same to police. He was at first charged with conveying suspected stolen property but upon identification of the suit and other articles of clothing by the complainant, his wife and his servant, the appellant was charged as above.

The appellants defence was that police arrested him and others. He later took police to the washerman where he pointed out certain clothings but later police produced some other clothings and implicated him.

The learned trial magistrate considered all the evidence and came to conclusion that the clothings which were recovered by police through the appellant were the stolen property of the complainant. There was ample evidence to establish that.

In my view the appellant was properly convicted.

The sentence is not unreasonable.

The appeal is dismissed.

**April 24 ,1987**

**ABDULLAH J**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)