



Case Number:	Criminal Appeal 5 of 1990
Date Delivered:	12 Oct 1990
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Nyeri
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Philip Kiptoo Tunoi
Citation:	Kamanja v Republic [1990] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	<p style="text-align: center;">Kamanja v Republic</p> <p style="text-align: center;">High Court, at Nyeri October 12, 1990</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Tunoi J</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Criminal Appeal No 5 of 1990</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Appeal from a Judgment of the Senior Resident Magistrate's Court at</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Nyeri, FN Muche Ag SRM Esq, in Criminal Case No 817 of 1989)</p> <p><i>Evidence</i> – <i>Witness evidence – recognition and identification – factors aiding recognition identification.</i></p> <p>The appellant was convicted of the offence of robbery on the strength of recognition and identification evidence. The appellant on appeal argued that he was not properly recognised.</p> <p>The appellant was well known to the complainant who had given him a lift and employed him as a casual labourer. The appellant and complainant had also conversed before the incident and were drinking mates. During the incident the appellant</p>

	<p>spoke and was recognised by voice. The witnesses further recognised the appellant by moonlight and torch light and promptly identified the appellant as the attacker to the police.</p> <p>Held:</p> <p>On the evidence the court came to the right conclusion and was justified in convicting the appellant and rejecting his evidence. The witnesses had more than enough opportunity in seeing the appellant and recognising his voice.</p> <p>Cases</p> <p>No cases referred to.</p> <p>Statutes</p> <p>Penal Code (cap 63) section 296(1)</p>
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Nyeri
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Appeal Dismissed
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT AT NYERI

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO 5 OF 1990

KAMANJA..... APPELLANT

VERSUS

REPUBLIC.....RESPONDENT

(Appeal from a Judgment of the Senior Resident Magistrate's Court at
Nyeri, FN Muche Ag SRM Esq, in Criminal Case No 817 of 1989)

JUDGMENT

The appellant was convicted of robbery contrary to section 296(1) of the Penal Code.

The complainant and his family were attacked in their village at 1 am on the material night while asleep.

The gravamen of this appeal is recognition. The appellant argues that he was not properly recognised and that there were contradictions in the evidence of the material witnesses.

In my view, the learned trial magistrate in her well reasoned judgment came to the right conclusion in convicting the appellant as charged.

The appellant was well-known to complainant. They had talked and conversed before the incident. The complainant had given him a lift and had employed him as a casual labourer. They were drinking mates. During the incident the appellant spoke. There was therefore recognition by voice.

PW II knew the appellant before. There was moonlight. She recognized him as he was entering the house. PW III saw him by means of reflected torch lights.

These witnesses informed the police soon thereafter that they had been attacked and robbed by the appellant.

In his testimony the appellant denied the charge. He averred that he was a sleep throughout the night in his house.

The learned trial magistrate accepted the evidence of the prosecution witnesses as true. She rejected that of the appellant. She was justified in doing so. The witnesses had more than enough opportunity in seeing the appellant and recognising his voice. They were not hesitant in informing the police of his identity. The appellant was properly convicted. The grounds of appeal have no merit and are rejected.

The appeal against the conviction is dismissed. The prison term and the reporting order are legal and not excessive.

Appeal dismissed.

Dated and Delivered at Nyeri this 12th Day of October, 1990

P.K. TUNOI

.....

JUDGE



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)