



Case Number:	Cause 8 of 2002
Date Delivered:	11 Nov 2002
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Employment and Labour Relations Court at Nairobi
Case Action:	Award
Judge:	Charles Pius Chemuttut
Citation:	IMPALA GLASS INDUSTRIES LTD v KENYA UNION OF COMMERCIAL, FOOD & ALLIED WORKERS [2002] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Industrial Court
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

IN THE INDUSTRIAL COURT OF KENYA

AT NAIROBI

(Before Charles P. Chemuturi, J.)

CAUSE NO. 1 OF 2002

IMPALA GLASS INDUSTRIES LTDApplicant.

v.

KENYA UNION OF COMMERCIAL,

FOOD & ALLIED WORKERSRespondent.

Case No. 1 of 2002

Forced early retirement of Mr. Samuel Mwayuka, Fredrick Mburu and five others (hereinafter called the grievants) and

refusal to discuss Voluntary Early Retirement package”;

No appearance for the Applicants (hereinafter called the Company).

No appearance for the Respondents (hereinafter called the Union).

INTERPRETATION OF THE AWARD.

The Court announced its ex parte award in this dispute on 10th October, 2002, and on 1st November, 2002, Mr. J.N. Njoroge, Principal Executive Officer, F.K.E., filed, on behalf of the Company, an application under Section 16(2) of the Trade Disputes Act, Cap 224, Laws of Kenya (which is hereinafter referred to as the Act) for interpretation of the award, praying that the Company bee nothing to pay to the two grievants and that any payment in excess of 12 months monetary wages is ultra vires Section 16(2)(c) of the Act.

It is on record that Mr. Njoroge neither filed his reply statement to the Union's memorandum, although the dates of filing the same and the hearing of the dispute were taken by mutual agreement and also despite being served with a copy thereof, nor did he appear for the Company or give any reasons for his non-appearance. In the circumstances, the Court was fully justified to proceed ex parte and the award cannot be questioned.

After all, the amounts awarded to the grievants in this dispute are for the unexpired periods of their services had they been allowed to retire normally at the age of 55 years, but for the unilateral, arbitrary and premature retirement. Therefore, the prayer by the Company that the award contravenes Section 15(1)(b) of the Act is baseless and completely untenable.

Accordingly, the application for interpretation of the award arising from

the proceedings in which Mr. Njoroge was not a party amounts to an appeal against the award and clearly an abuse of the process of the law. In the result, the application for interpretation of the award is hereby summarily rejected.

ORDER and given at Nairobi this 11th day of November, 2002.

Charles P. Chumumba,

...



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)