



Case Number:	Criminal Appeal 28 of 2006
Date Delivered:	24 Feb 2011
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	Court of Appeal at Nakuru
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Johnson Evan Gicheru, Emmanuel Okello O'Kubasu, Daniel Kennedy Sultani Aganyanya
Citation:	Francis Odingi v Republic [2011] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	<p>Criminal Practice and Procedure – sentence – appeal against sentence – grounds that it was harsh and excessive – appellant having been convicted and sentenced to 6 years imprisonment in the trial court – sentence enhanced to 14 years by the superior court on appeal – where the attorney General had not sought the enhancement – maximum sentence being 21 years – mitigating circumstances – manner in which the court will handle appeals on sentence – whether the superior court had been right in enhancing the sentence – Penal Code section 162 (a); Criminal Procedure Code section 354 (3)& (6)</p>
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	Ombaye
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	HCCRA NO. 10 OF 2003
Case Outcome:	Allowed
History County:	Kericho
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-

Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.	

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

AT NAKURU

CORAM: GICHERU, C.J., O’KUBASU & AGANYANYA, JJ.A.

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 28 OF 2006

BETWEEN

FRANCIS ODINGI APPELLANT

AND

REPUBLIC RESPONDENT

(An appeal from the conviction & sentence of the High Court of Kenya at Kericho (Apondi, J)
dated 28th June, 2005

in

HCCRA NO. 10 OF 2003)

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

Francis Odingi, the appellant was charged in the Principal Magistrate’s court at Kericho with the offence of committing an unnatural offence, contrary to **Section 162 (a) of the Penal Code**. The particulars of the charge were that on the 18th day of October, 2002, in Kericho District of the Rift Valley Province, he had carnal knowledge of **M.O** against the order of nature.

When the appellant was taken to court on 24th October, 2002, he admitted the offence by

pleading guilty to the charge. Then Inspector of Police Okila, who was conducting the prosecution case, narrated the facts which gave rise to the charge. The appellant replied:

“Maelezo ni ya ukweli. - The particulars are correct.”

The learned Senior Resident Magistrate (Ombaye) then convicted the appellant on his own plea of guilty. The appellant then mitigated as follows:

“Mimi ni mtoto wa shule. – I am a student.”

The learned Senior Resident Magistrate considered the mitigating circumstances and sentenced the appellant to 6 (six) years imprisonment. His appeal to the superior court was dismissed save that the learned Judge (Muga Apondi J) enhanced the sentence from 6 (six) to 14 (fourteen) years imprisonment. He appealed to this Court in a Memorandum of Appeal filed herein and which had 9 grounds all referred to as grounds of mitigation. However, when he appeared before us on 22nd February, 2011, the appellant said he was satisfied with the conviction but pleaded for the reduction of the sentence. Mr Omwega, learned Principal State Counsel opposed the appeal stating that although the sentence provided for the offence was 21 years, the appellant was only handed 14 years. We note this is the maximum sentence provided under **Section 162 (a) of the Penal Code**.

Section 354 (3) (iii) of the Criminal Procedure Code, Chapter 75, Laws of Kenya provides:

“(b) In an appeal against sentence the High Court can increase or reduce sentence.”

However, **Section 354 (6)** specifically provides that:

“6. Nothing in sub section (ii) shall empower the High Court to impose a greater sentence than might have been imposed by the court which tried the case.”

The charge facing the appellant was triable by the learned Senior Resident Magistrate. The sentence provided for the offence is 14 (fourteen) years, but the learned magistrate sentenced the appellant to 6 (six) years imprisonment. He was aware and recorded that the appellant was a first offender and other mitigating circumstances and this is why he handed down 6 (six) years imprisonment. This was a discretionary function and it is our view that since the Attorney General had

not applied for enhancement of the sentence, there were no compelling reasons for the learned Judge to enhance it as he did.

In the circumstances, we allow this appeal to the extent that the appellant will serve the 6 (six) years sentence imposed by the learned Senior Resident Magistrate on 24th October, 2002. This will be the order of the Court.

Dated and delivered at Nakuru this 24th day of February, 2011.

J. E. GICHERU

CHIEF JUSTICE

E. O. O'KUBASU

JUDGE OF APPEAL

D. K. S. AGANYANYA

JUDGE OF APPEAL

I certify that this is a true copy of the original.

DEPUTY REGISTRAR



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)