



Case Number:	Civil Appeal 97 of 1998
Date Delivered:	16 Jul 1998
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Court of Appeal at Mombasa
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Philip Kiptoo Tunoi, Effie Owuor, Richard Otieno Kwach
Citation:	Salmin Mbarak & 2 others v Hadi Karama & 3 others [1998] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Civil
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Mombasa
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	802 of 1995
Case Outcome:	Appeal Dismissed with Costs to the Respondents.
History County:	Mombasa
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-

The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL**

**AT MOMBASA**

**(CORAM: KWACH, TUNOI & OWUOR, JJ.A.)**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 97 OF 1998**

**BETWEEN**

**SALMIN MBARAK**

**LAABID SAID**

**THE UNITED GASSAM ARAB ASSOCIATION..... APPELLANTS**

**AND**

**HADI KARAMA**

**AWADH MBARAK**

**AWADH ALYAEU**

**GASSAM COMMUNITY WELFARE SOCIETY..... RESPONDENTS**

**(Appeal from the Ruling of the High Court of Kenya at Mombasa (Justice Mary Ang'awa) dated the 21st day of November, 1996**

**in**

**H.C.C.C. NO. 802 OF 1995)**

**\*\*\*\*\***

**JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**

The appellants, as plaintiffs, sued the respondents in the High Court of Kenya at Mombasa for a declaration that all the properties enumerated in the Plaint are the properties of an association known as the United Gassam Arab Association and that the respondents be ordered to render true and accurate account of all moneys, rent and mesne profits received on account.

The respondents requested further and better particulars from the appellants, which request was ignored. It is pertinent for us to refer to the appellants' letter dated 14th March, 1996 in reply to the request:-

***"Your letter of 8th March, 1996 refers.***

***Please yourselves."***

However, on 30th August, 1996 the appellants purported to supply the particulars in the following manner:-

**" Particulars: Order VI r 8**

**a) -This is a matter of evidence**

**(b)+(c)-The particulars sought can be obtained in the Register of Societies, being public matters whose records are kept by the Registrar.**

**(d) -No resolution in writing was made. Agreement to sue was orally reached."**

The respondents **"indeed pleased themselves"** and applied to the court for an order dismissing the appellants' suit on the ground that the appellants had refused to supply the particulars sought and ordered by the court. The court made the order asked for and consequently the appellants' suit was dismissed.

The main ground of appeal before us is that the learned Judge wrongly exercised her discretion in dismissing the suit given that no specific and clear order had been made requiring the appellants to do certain things or take certain steps at the risk of having the suit dismissed in default.

The record of the proceedings before us shows that not only did the appellants fail to supply the particulars sought but also did not do so within a reasonable time. They in effect informed the respondents to go and make a search for the vital information sought and took about four months to communicate with the respondents.

We are alive to the fact that the dismissal of an action for the failure to supply particulars imposes a drastic penalty; and, further that the dismissal of any action at any interlocutory stage is a very serious matter and may well work serious injustice.

But, in the matter before us the appellants exhibited extreme arrogance at every stage of the proceedings and had shown a wilful disregard of all orders made by the superior court. Further, they had demonstrated by their conduct that they were unwilling to comply with whatever orders the court was going to make. In the circumstances, the learned Judge cannot be faulted for the orders she made. The appellants clearly did not deserve any further indulgence from the court.

This appeal is devoid of merit and is ordered dismissed with costs to the respondents. This is our order.

**Dated and delivered at Mombasa this 16th day of July, 1998.**

**R. O. KWACH**

.....

**JUDGE OF APPEAL**

**P. K. TUNOI**

.....

**JUDGE OF APPEAL**

**E. OWUOR**

.....

**JUDGE OF APPEAL**

**I certify that this is**

**a true copy of the original.**

**DEPUTY REGISTRAR**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)