



Case Number:	Civil Appeal 98 of 2009
Date Delivered:	10 Dec 2009
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Bungoma
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Florence Nyaguthii Muchemi
Citation:	Benson Opwora Vs Paul Otube Wafula eKLR[2009]
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA

AT BUNGOMA

Civil Appeal 98 of 2009

This is a ruling on the Appellant's application dated 10th August 2009. It is brought under section 3A of the Civil Procedure Act, order XLI rule 4 (1) (2) of the Civil Procedure Act. It seeks for orders that warrants of arrest of the Appellant in Bungoma CM CC NO.211 of 2008 be suspended/stayed pending the hearing and determination of the appeal.

The grounds set out in the supporting affidavit are that the Applicant was condemned unheard for contempt of court which is against the principles of natural justice. The application for contempt was not served on the Applicant. It was not until 20/7/2009 when the counsel for the Applicant became aware that such warrants had been issued against his client.

The application is opposed on grounds that the Applicant disobeyed an injunctive order issued by the court and served on him. It is the Respondent's contention that the application for contempt of court was heard and fully determined and should not be revisited by this court when the Applicant is still in contempt.

The Applicant denies service of the application for contempt. In his replying

affidavit, the Respondent does not address the issue of the disputed service. The Respondent avers that the Applicant has tried to defeat the injunctive orders through the back door in other suits. However, no evidence of such suits has been annexed to the affidavit.

As the application stands, the allegation of failure to serve the Applicant with the application for contempt has not been denied. Any party alleged to be in contempt must be given an opportunity to show cause why he should not be punished for the contempt. In this case, the Applicant has shown he has a good ground to seek the order for stay and pending appeal.

I find the application merited and I allow it as prayed.

F. N. MUCHEMI

JUDGE

Dated, Delivered and Signed at Bungoma

This 10TH day of December . 2009 in the presence of

Mr, Sichangi for respondent.



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)