



Case Number:	civ app 91 of 99
Date Delivered:	29 Jul 2003
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Kisii
Case Action:	-
Judge:	Isaac Charles Cheskaki Wambilyangah
Citation:	SILAS ODHIAMBO OSODO vs ZACHARIA ONYANDO AUKO[2003] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT KISII

CIVIL APPEAL NO.91 OF 1999

SILAS ODHIAMBO OSODO APPELLANT
VERSUS
ZACHARIA ONYANDO AUKO RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT:

The Respondent was admittedly the registered co-proprietor in respect of plot Kanyada/Kotieno Katuma "A"/1050. The other proprietor is named Trufena w/o Ouko who is his mother. It means that the respondent was adequately taken care of during the distribution of the estate of his late father.

There is an abstract in the register in this record of appeal. It shows that the size of plot 1050 aforesaid is 2 hectares. All that the respondent was entitled to do was to cause the Land Registrar and District Surveyor to determine the boundaries if he thought that the appellant had encroached upon some of the land comprised in his said title. Under s.21(4) of the Registered Land Act Cap.300 a court has no jurisdiction to entertain any action relating to a dispute as to the boundaries of registered land unless the boundaries had been determined as stipulated in the Act.

So the order which the magistrate purported to give in his judgment i.e. directing the Land Registrar and the Surveyor to determine the boundaries between plot 1050 and 1054 constituted an act which the parties themselves should have initiated if they adhered to the categorical stipulations of the law as adverted to above.

Accordingly I hold that the suit was clearly a non-starter. It should have been dismissed for already contravening the law. So I hereby allow this appeal with costs. The lower court's suit is dismissed with costs.

Dated and delivered on 29th of July 2003.
I.C.C. WAMBILYANGAH
JUDGE



[Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions.
Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)