



Case Number:	civ app 187 of 98
Date Delivered:	24 Nov 1999
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Court of Appeal at Kisumu
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Johnson Evan Gicheru, Philip Kiptoo Tunoi, Abdulrasul Ahmed Lakha
Citation:	Kebirigo General Stores Ltd v Maria Wambura Mwita [1999] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Civil
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Kisumu
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	H.C.C.C. NO. 206 OF 1995
Case Outcome:	Appeal dismissed.
History County:	Kisii
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-

The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA
IN THE COURT OF APPEAL**

AT KISUMU

(CORAM: GICHERU, TUNOI & LAKHA, JJ.A.)

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 187 OF 1998

BETWEEN

**KEBIRIGO GENERAL STORES LTDAPPELLANT
AND
MARIA WAMBURA MWITARESPONDENT**

(Appeal from the Judgment of the High Court of Kenya at Kisii

(Hon. Mr. Justice Mbaluto) dated the 8th day of April,

1998

in

H.C.C.C. NO. 206 OF 1995)

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

This is an appeal by the unsuccessful defendant from the judgment of the superior court (Mbaluto, J.) given on 8 April, 1998 whereby he awarded the sum of **Shs. 650,000/= as general damages, Shs. 640/= as special damages, Shs. 234,000/= as loss of future earnings and Shs. 90,000/= costs of future earnings making a total sum of Shs. 974,640/=** with costs and interest.

The appeal raises only two points, the first in respect of the refusal by the learned judge to grant an adjournment. This is essentially a matter of discretion with which this Court will not interfere unless it is shown that the learned judge was plainly wrong or that the discretion has been wrongly exercised. We have not been persuaded that the learned judge erred in principle or otherwise. This ground fails.

The other ground urged was in relation to the question of damages. We have heard nothing in support of the appeal on this ground to satisfy us that the award of damages is so inordinately high as to warrant an interference by this Court. No comparable cases were cited on behalf of the appellant and those referred to by the learned judges are not shown to be inapplicable. All in all, we are satisfied that the respondent on balance of probabilities discharged the burden on her and no grounds have been advanced to justify any interference by this Court. The injuries suffered by the plainFtoirf f tahree rseearsioonuss .above stated this appeal has no merit. It is dismissed with costs.

Dated and delivered at Kisumu this 24th day of November, 1999.

J.E. GICHERU
.....

JUDGE OF APPEAL

P.K. TUNOI
.....

JUDGE OF APPEAL

A.A. LAKHA
.....

JUDGE OF APPEAL

I certify that this is
a true copy of the original.

DEPUTY REGISTRAR



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)