



Case Number:	MISC.APP. 21 OF 2008
Date Delivered:	11 Mar 2008
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Kitale
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	
Citation:	REPUBLIC v CHERENGANY L.D.T & ANOTHERS EX-PARTE – KIPLAGAT CHEMWETICH [2008] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	<p>Judicial Review-certiorari-application for leave to apply for an order of certiorari to quash the finding of the Land Dispute Tribunal which was read and adopted as a judgment of the court in the Senior Resident Magistrate's Court -principles to be applied in such applications- duty of the applicant to show that there was in existence a decision that was amenable to the remedy of judicial review- where the decision of the Land Disputes Tribunal ceased to exist when it was adopted as a judgment of the court-whether the orders sought could be granted -order 53 Rule I, section 7(2) of the Land Disputes Tribunals Act</p>
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-

Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT KITALE

-

MISC.APP. 21 OF 2008

REPUBLIC ===== APPLICANT

VERSUS

CHERENGANY L.D.T. Comprising of 4 Others =====1ST RESPONDENT

RESIDENT MAGISTRATE – ITEN COURT ===== 2ND RESPONDENT

KIMISOI CHEMWETICH & OTHERS ===== 3RD RESPONDENT

EX-PARTE – KIPLAGAT CHEMWETICH

RULING

The applicant, Kiplagat Chemwetich, made an ex parte application to this court on 5/3/2008 seeking under order LIII Rule I leave to apply for an order of certiorari to remove into this court for quashing the decision of Cherengany Land Disputes Tribunal which was read and adopted as a judgment of the court on 6th February 2008 in Iten Senior Resident Magistrate’s Court L.D.T Case No.7 of 2007.

The court in considering an application of this nature is not called upon to go into the matter in depth. The principles to be applied are that if on the material made available to the court finds that there is prima facie evidence of an arguable case, the court will normally grant the leave sought. To be able to do this, the applicant must first show that there is in existence a decision that is amenable to the remedy of judicial review.

In this case, the decision of Cherengany Land Disputes Tribunal ceased to exist on 21/1/2008 when it was adopted as a judgment of the court.

There is not now in being an award which the court can quash by an order of certiorari following the adoption of the award as a judgment of the court pursuant to the provisions of section 7(2) of the Land Disputes Tribunals Act 1990.

I observe that the application was wrongly framed, as it does not heed the decisions in **Mohamed Ahmed r. R (1957) E.A 323 and Farmers Bus V. Transport Licencing (1959) E.A 779.**

In the result, it is my finding that the applicant has failed to show existence in law of a decision

that the court can quash by an order of certiorari and consequently has failed to establish that there is prima facie evidence of an arguable case.

In the circumstances, I have no alternative but to dismiss the application which I hereby do.

Delivered at Kitale on 11/3/2008.

G.B.M KARIUKI

JUDGE

11/3/2008



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)