



Case Number:	Criminal Appeal 212 of 1993
Date Delivered:	22 Nov 1995
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Nyeri
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Mary Atieno Ang'awa
Citation:	Joseph Karimi Ngari v Republic [1995] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	<p>Joseph Karimi Ngari v Republic</p> <p>High Court, at Nyeri</p> <p>November 22, 1995</p> <p>Ang'awa J</p> <p>Criminal Appeal 212 of 1993</p> <p>(From original conviction and sentence in PM's Nyeri Cr C 963/90)</p> <p><i>Civil Practice & Procedure – charges – where one is charged with robbery contrary to section 296(1) Penal Code – while offence supports a charge of burglary and theft under section 304(2) of Penal Code – whether appellate court can substitute and convict on latter charge.</i></p> <p>The accused were charged with two counts of robbery contrary to section 296(1) of Penal Code and convicted the original accused 1,2 and 4. The appellant, here who was original accused 2 appealed stating that he was not properly identified and that the trial magistrate did not grant him opportunity to bring alibi defence.</p>

	<p>The state counsel, on appeal, left it to court and did not give reasons as to whether he supported appeal or not. The court therefore looked at the charges brought against accused and whether different charge should have been instituted instead of charge of robbery contrary to section 296(1) of the Penal Code.</p> <p>Held:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The court is satisfied that the appellant was part of the eight men gang which entered into the complainant's house. 2. The offence of robbery under section 296(1) of the Penal Code should not have been used but rather one of burglary and theft contrary to section 304(2) of the Penal Code in both counts. <p>Appeal dismissed.</p>
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Nyeri
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Appeal dismissed.
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT NYERI

CRIMINAL APPEAL 212 OF 1993

JOSEPH KARIMI NGARI & 2 OTHERS V REPUBLIC

JUDGMENT

One of five accused charged with two counts of robbery contrary to section 296(1) of the Penal Code the trial magistrate acquitted the 3rd and 5th original accused due to finding them not guilty contrary to section 215 of the Penal Code.

He convicted the original accused 1, 2, & 4 with the two counts. All the three accused appealed but when it came to hearing their appeal they each insisted that they would wish to have their appeals heard separately.

The appellant herein is the original second accused. He appeals against both conviction and sentence. The main thrust of his appeal is that the identification and the lack of opportunity not granted to him by the trial magistrate to bring in alibi defence.

The state counsel left it to the court and gave no reasons as to whether he supported the appeal or not.

From the proceedings of the lower court the appellant was represented. He and other accused were given an opportunity to call their witnesses but they failed to appeal before the court.

When the case was closed both defence counsels were present and they did not raise any objection.

Was the appellant involved in the robbery" The complainant was attacked by eight men who forced their way into her house. They ordered her to take a meal. The lights were on. She distinctly recalls the 1st and 2nd accused as the ones who gave these orders. She spent a considerable time with them in the house.

After the robbery they left taking with them a vehicle.

The complainant came to know of the two accused when she identified them in two separate places. As to this current appellant it was at the laundry.

This court is satisfied that the appellant was part of the eight men gang which entered into the complainant's house.

The offence of robbery 296(1) Penal Code should not have been used but rather one of burglary and theft contrary to section 304(2) of the Penal Code, in both counts. The police should have charged the appellant with a separate count of theft of a motor vehicle contrary to section 278A of the Penal Code but did not.

It must be noted that the police are so trigger happy to charge every person they arrest with the offence of robbery with violence.

Even magistrates like the current magistrates merely stamp what the police brings to court as a

charge without analysing in debt the actual offence committed.

To this extent the appeal would succeed on the conviction being one of burglary contrary to section 304(2) of the Penal Code, on both counts. This court would not make a finding on theft of a motor vehicle contrary to section 278(a) of the Penal Code.

The court will not interfere with the sentence. The appeal is hereby otherwise dismissed.

Dated and delivered at Nyeri this 22nd day of November, 1995

M.A. Ang'awa

JUDGE



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)