



Case Number:	civ app 43 of 96
Date Delivered:	27 Sep 2001
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Machakos
Case Action:	-
Judge:	John Wycliffe Mwera
Citation:	MUTHOKA NGUMI & JOHN NDUDA MUSYIMI vs SAMMY K. MUTUNGA[2001] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA**

**AT MACHAKOS**

**civ app 43 of 96**

**MUTHOKA NGUMI ..... 1 ST APPELLANT**

**JOHN NDUNDA MUSYIMI ..... 2 ND APPELLANT**

**VERSUS**

**SAMMY K. MUTUNGA ..... RESPONDENT**

**RULING**

The applicant under O.41 rr. 1, 2 SS.3A, 79 G Civil Procedure Act and O.6 r. 13(b) (d) wants this appeal struck out because of, so Mr. Kisongoa submitted, a land adjudication officer's consent under S.30 of the Land Adjudication Act (Cap.284). The court heard that the consent (contained in the appeal record at pp.16) dated 4.4.95 only related to Civil Appeal L.17 of 1976 in respect of Plot No.610 IKALYONI ADJUDICATION SECTION, MAKUENI. That when that proceeding ended in the lower court with the judgement of 6.9.96, a fresh consent had to be sought to commence this appeal.

Mr. Makau clarified that their appeal is based on the judgement of the lower court dated 8.5.96. Such judgement, and that is what the preamble to the appeal herein stated, was nowhere on the record of appeal. At page 13 and 14 it can be read: "COURT. Judgement on 8.5.95" There then follows a RULING "Dated 8.5.96" Anyway Mr. Makau said that with the right of appeal from the lower court rulings and judgements, the consent of 4.4.95 was meant to extend and cover all stages in the proceedings in respect of the appeal No. L.17 of 1976. This court is inclined to agree with Mr. Makau, that all proceedings following Civil Appeal No. L.17 of 1976 were covered with the consent of 4.4.95. Therein the land adjudication officer enjoined: "2. In order to complete the Adjudication Register for the Ikalyoni Adjudication Section, I shall in due course require a copy of the final orders made in each of the cases listed (sic) in the schedule" (Underlining supplied) The case listed was No. L.17/1976. It was heard by the lower court. The dissatisfied party exercised the right to appeal here, and this is the appeal. It can be prudently considered that the consent of 4.4.95 is still operative until the machinery is exhausted and final orders issue for the land adjudication officer to take and therefore complete the relevant adjudication register.

Orders refused with costs. Parties to proceed to have the appeal heard.

Orders accordingly.

Delivered on 27th September 2001.

**J. W. MWERA**

## JUDGE



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)