



Case Number:	Judicial Review E003 of 2021
Date Delivered:	31 Mar 2022
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Nanyuki
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Hatari Peter George Waweru
Citation:	Republic v Attorney-General Ex parte Julius Mundia Kang'ethe [2022] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Laikipia
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Application granted
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-

The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT NANYUKI

JUDICIAL REVIEW NO. E003 OF 2021

JULIUS MUNDIA KANG'ETHE.....APPLICANT

VERSUS

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL.....RESPONDENT

RULING

1. I have before me an *ex parte* chamber summons dated 19/07/2021 seeking leave of the court to apply for judicial review. The relief to be sought in such proceedings is an order of *mandamus* to compel the Attorney-General to satisfy the decree in *Nanyuki CM ELC No 13 of 2019*.
2. I have read the statement of facts and verifying affidavit filed together with the chamber summons. I have also given due consideration to the submissions of the learned counsels appearing.
3. I will allow the application and grant the leave sought. Let the substantive motion be filed as provide for in the *Civil Procedure Rules, 2010*. It is so ordered. Costs of this application shall be in the substantive motion.

DATED AND SIGNED AT NANYUKI THIS 22ND DAY OF MARCH 2022

H P G WAWERU

JUDGE

DELIVERED AT NANYUKI THIS 31ST DAY OF MARCH 2022



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)