



Case Number:	Criminal Case 20 of 2017
Date Delivered:	17 Nov 2021
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Embu
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Lucy Mwihaki Njuguna
Citation:	Republic v Paline Mugendi Nyaga [2021] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Embu
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA**

**AT EMBU**

**CRIMINAL CASE NO. 20 OF 2017**

**REPUBLIC.....PROSECUTOR**

**VERSUS**

**PALINE MUGENDI NYAGA.....ACCUSED**

**RULING**

1. The accused person was charged with the offence of murder contrary to Section 203 as read with Section 204 of the Penal Code. The particulars of the offence being that on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2017 at Kapingazi Bridge of Majimbo area in Embu West Sub-County within Embu County, jointly with others not before court murdered Lorraine Wambui.
2. The accused person was arraigned in court on 19.02.2018 and he pleaded not guilty and a plea of not guilty was entered against him.
3. The matter proceeded to hearing and the prosecution called a total of ten (10) witnesses after which the prosecution closed its case.
4. This court has a duty to make a ruling upon the conclusion of the prosecution's case on whether the accused person has a case to answer or not. When the evidence of the prosecution witnesses has been concluded and the court has formed an opinion that there has not been presented evidence that the accused committed the offence, should after hearing any arguments from either the prosecution or advocate of the accused person enter a finding of not guilty. (See **Section 306(1) of the Criminal Procedure Code**).
5. On the other hand, should the court be of the opinion that a *prima facie* case has been established, the court then should proceed to put the accused person on his defence. (See **Section 306(2) of the Criminal Procedure Code**). At this point, this court's role is to consider the evidence on record and make a determination whether a *prima facie* case has been established to warrant the accused person to be placed on his defence.
6. Section 211 of the Criminal Procedure Code stipulates that a *prima facie* case is established where the evidence adduced by the prosecution is sufficient for the court to return a guilty verdict if no other explanation is offered by the accused person. This was the court's view in the case of **Ronald Nyaga Kiura v R [2018] eKLR**.
7. I adopt the holding in the case of **Festo Wandera Mukando v Republic [1980] KLR103** where the court pronounced itself that there is no need for a reasoned ruling for a case to answer. It's trite that reasons should only be given where the submission of no case to answer by the accused is upheld and the accused is to be acquitted.
8. This court has considered the evidence tendered by the prosecution in this matter and from its entirety, the prosecution has established a *prima facie* case against the accused person to warrant him to be placed on his defence.
9. I hereby order that he be placed on his defence.
10. It is so ordered.

***Delivered, dated and signed at Embu this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2021.***

*L. NJUGUNA*

*JUDGE*

.....*for the Accused*

.....*for the Respondent*



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)