



Case Number:	Criminal Case3 of 2019
Date Delivered:	04 Nov 2021
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Garissa
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Abida Ali-Aroni
Citation:	Republic v Dennis Langat & another [2021] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Garissa
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA**

**AT GARISSA**

**CRIMINAL CASE NO. 3 OF 2019**

**REPUBLIC.....PROSECUTION**

**VERSUS**

**DENNIS LANGAT.....1<sup>ST</sup> ACCUSED**

**KENNEDY OKULI.....2<sup>ND</sup> ACCUSED**

**SENTENCE**

1. The court has considered the Judgement written by **Kariuki J** and the mitigation made by Mr. Onono counsel on record for the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> accused and the additional mitigation made by Mr. Kairu on behalf of the 2<sup>nd</sup> accused more particularly reference made to paragraph No. 7 – 19 of the Judiciary Guidelines on Sentencing.

2. The statute that remains applicable in our jurisdiction and prescribes for the sentence to be meted out in relation to the offence of manslaughter, which the accused persons were convicted of is the Penal Code and in particular Section 205 thereof which provides

**“(205) Punishment of manslaughter**

**Any person who commits the felony of manslaughter is liable to imprisonment for life.”**

3. The above section of the law allows the court to use discretion to the maximum limit of life imprisonment. No option for non-custodial sentence is prescribed.

4. Counsel Mr. Kairu relied heavily on the Sentencing Policy Guidelines on seeking for a non-custodial sentence for his client. It is clear that the said guidelines remain to be “*guidelines*” and cannot be a substitute for the written law.

5. Further paragraph 3.1 of the said guidelines also states as follows;

**“Proportionality; The sentence meted out must be proportionate to the offending behavior. The punishment must not be more or less than is merited in view of the gravity of the offence. Proportionality of the sentence to the offending behavior is weighed in view of the actual, foreseeable and intended impact of the offence as well as the responsibility of the offender.”**

6. Having considered the circumstances of the case, the mitigating factors submitted by counsel at length on behalf of the accused persons it is noteworthy that the harm caused by the offence resulting in the death of the deceased is immeasurable to the family of the deceased and the society generally. The action of the accused persons caused a major loss, death. This must be weighed against other relevant factors that the court takes into account.

7. Weighing all relevant factors this court sentences both the accused person to a jail term of 10 years.

**DATED, DELIVERED AND SIGNED AT GARISSA THIS 4<sup>th</sup> DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2021.**

.....

**ALI-ARONI**

**JUDGE**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)