



Case Number:	Succession Cause 74 of 2000
Date Delivered:	17 Sep 2021
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Kakamega
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	William Musya Musyoka
Citation:	In re Estate of Bushuru Eshitabule Jared (Deceased) [2021] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Family
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Kakamega
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Summons dismissed
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT KAKAMEGA

SUCCESSION CAUSE NO. 74 OF 2000

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF BUSHURU ESHITABULE JARED (DECEASED)

RULING

1. Representation to the intestate estate herein was committed to Noah Bushuru Shitabule and Jane Wakwera Otengo on 10th April 2000, and a grant of letters of administration intestate was duly issued, on even date.
2. The 2 administrators, Noah Bushuru Shitabule and Jane Wakwera Otengo, mounted a Motion, dated 19th October 2000, for confirmation of their grant. That application was granted on 19th October 2000, and the estate was devolved in the manner proposed in the application, and a certificate of confirmation of grant was issued of even date.
3. No action was taken in the matter since October 2000, until 13th July 2019, when Noah Bushuru Shitabule filed a summons for revocation, dated 13th July 2019, of the certificate of confirmation of grant dated 19th October 2000, and nullification of the transmission that was based on that certificate, and a fresh distribution of the estate.
4. I am invited to determine a preliminary objection to that summons for revocation. The confirmation of grant that is sought to be overturned, was obtained on the basis of an application that was brought by the applicant in the revocation application. It was his application. He now seeks to overturn what he invited the court to do, to distribute the estate based on his proposals, which the court endorsed. I find it odd that he is moving 20 years later to complain. If he was diligent as an administrator, he would have brought the application earlier. The issues he is raising now ought to have been raised 20 years ago. He has totally failed as administrator.
5. I agree that the summons is in abuse of court process. There should be an end to litigation. I hereby strike out the summons for revocation. Each party shall bear their own costs.

DELIVERED, DATED AND SIGNED IN OPEN COURT AT KAKAMEGA THIS 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2021

W MUSYOKA

JUDGE



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)