



Case Number:	Miscellaneous 42 of 2020
Date Delivered:	29 Jun 2021
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Employment and Labour Relations Court at Nairobi
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Nzioki wa Makau
Citation:	Great Wall Enterprises Limited v Lydia Moraa Otero [2021] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Civil
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Nairobi
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Motion dismissed
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-

The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE EMPLOYMENT & LABOUR RELATIONS

COURT OF KENYA AT NAIROBI

MISCELLANEOUS NO. 42 OF 2020

GREAT WALL ENTERPRISES LIMITED.....APPLICANT

VERSUS

LYDIA MORAA OTERO.....RESPONDENT

RULING

1. The Applicant seeks through the Notice of Motion Application dated 12th March 2020 for leave to file an appeal out of time and a stay of execution of the decree and order of Hon. D. O. Mbeja Senior Resident Magistrate given on 31st January 2020. In the motion, the Applicant asserts there was an express notification of intent to appeal the decision but the instructions were not executed before the warrants were issued and attachment made. The Applicant avers that it has an arguable appeal which overwhelming chances of success. The Applicant's motion was opposed by the Respondent who asserts there exists no jurisdiction express or implied reposed in this Court by either statute or rules to extend time. The Respondent asserts that the prayers sought by the Applicant are not capable of grant for that reason and the paucity of explanation requisite for such orders.

2. The motion was disposed of by way of written submissions. However, as at the time of penning the Ruling, the submissions for the Applicant were not on record and none could be traced on the online portal of the Court (CTS System). The Respondent on her part submitted that the Employment and Labour Relations Court has no jurisdiction to extend time as there is no such provision whether in the Act or the Rules governing its procedure. The Respondent submitted that the Civil Procedure Rules permitting extension are not applicable to this Court for the reason that the Court has its own procedure. The Respondent was notified of the decision of the Subordinate Court but chose not to act within the time stipulated under the Rules to mount the intended appeal. As this Court does not have the jurisdiction to extend time, the motion by the Applicant is otiose. It is hereby dismissed with costs to the Respondent as it lacks merit.

It is so ordered.

Dated and delivered at Nairobi this 29th day of June 2021

Nzioki wa Makau

JUDGE



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)