



Case Number:	Criminal Case 11 of 2014
Date Delivered:	24 Mar 2021
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Garissa
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Charles Kariuki Mutungi
Citation:	Republic v Abdiharam Barissa [2021] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Garissa
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-

The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT GARISSA**

**CRIMINAL CASE NO. 11 OF 2014**

**REPUBLIC.....PROSECUTION**

**VERSUS**

**ABDIHARAM BARISSA.....ACCUSED**

**SENTENCING ORDER**

1. The accused has been convicted of offence of grievous harm contrary to **section 234** of the **Penal Code Cap 63 Laws of Kenya**.
2. The accused was afforded opportunity to mitigate after State stated that there were no previous records.
3. The accused mitigated via his advocate to the effect that, he is remorseful and regrets the death of the deceased. He says the incident occurred in the heat of the moment when he fought the deceased.
4. The 2016 Judiciary of Kenya Sentencing Policy Guidelines lists the objectives of sentencing at page 15, paragraph 4.1 as follows:

*“Sentences are imposed to meet the following objectives:*

*I. Retribution: To punish the offender for his/her criminal conduct in a just manner.*

*II. Deterrence: To deter the offender from committing a similar offence subsequently as well as to discourage other people from committing similar offences.*

*III. Rehabilitation: To enable the offender reform from his criminal disposition and become a law abiding person.*

*IV. Restorative justice: To address the needs arising from the criminal conduct such as loss and damages. Criminal conduct ordinarily occasions victims’, communities’ and offenders’ needs and justice demands that these are met. Further, to promote a sense of responsibility through the offender’s contribution towards meeting the victims’ needs.*

*V. Community protection: To protect the community by incapacitating the offender.*

*VI. Denunciation: To communicate the community’s condemnation of the criminal conduct.”*

5. In the case of **Nicholas Mukila Ndeti v Republic [2019] eKLR** the held that, **“the sentencing policy states at paragraph 4.2 that when carrying out sentencing all these objectives are geared to in totality, though in some instances some of the sentences may be in conflict.”**

6. The court has considered the evidence on record as to how accused killed the deceased, period in custody, mitigations and the fact that he is a first offender. The court notes that the accused chased deceased and without any provocation or justification inflicted the fatal injuries. It was an act of brutality which calls for harsh sentence in the circumstances.

7. However, the court finds that, in the circumstances of the incident, there are no circumstances to warrant court to mete out life

sentence but severe custodial sentence suffices.

8. Thus accused is sentenced to 25 years' imprisonment with effect from 27/6/2014.

9. Right of appeal explained.

**DELIVERED AND SIGNED AT GARISSA THIS 24<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2021.**

.....

**C. KARIUKI**

**JUDGE**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)