



Case Number:	Criminal Revision E010 of 2020
Date Delivered:	05 Nov 2020
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Migori
Case Action:	Revision
Judge:	Roseline Pauline Vunoro Wendoh
Citation:	Joel Ouma Jonyo v Republic [2020] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Migori
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Revision dismissed
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT MIGORI**

**CRIMINAL REVISION E010 OF 2020**

**JOEL OUMA JONYO.....APPLICANT**

**=vs=**

**REPUBLIC.....RESPONDENT**

**REVISION**

I have considered the request made by **Mr. Ezra Awino Advocate**, for revision of the order made by **Hon. Maritim** on 28<sup>th</sup> October, 2020. The accused **Joel Ouma Jonyo alias Baba Owino** faces a charge of defilement contrary to Section 8(1) of the Sexual Offences Act.

The trial court granted the accused bond of Kshs. 200,000/= with one surety of the same amount. Somebody came to stand surety for the accused but the court declined to approve the said surety after assessing him as to his suitability as a surety.

The court has not denied accused bond as counsel has alleged. It is upon the accused to avail a surety who is acceptable to the court. It is the trial court that examines the surety to determine his suitability. The accused faces a serious offence. In evaluating a surety, the primary consideration by the court is to be satisfied that the surety will be able to avail the accused at the trial. This court will not interfere with the court's discretion to determine whether the surety is suitable or not. The court may interfere in the exercise of the Court's discretion only if accused had been unfairly denied bond or given unreasonable bond terms.

In the circumstances, I find no good reason to interfere with the discretion of the magistrate in determining who is a suitable surety. I decline to review the magistrate's order.

**DELIVERED, DATED and SIGNED at MIGORI this 5<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2020**

**R. WENDOH**

**JUDGE**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)