



Case Number:	Civil Suit 414 of 2012
Date Delivered:	15 Jul 2020
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Eldoret
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Milicent Akinyi Obwa Odeny
Citation:	Kimutai Lelei v Hosea Bittok & another [2020] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Civil
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Uasin Gishu
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE LAND AND ENVIRONMENT COURT**

**AT ELDORET**

**CIVIL SUIT NO. 414 OF 2012**

**KIMUTAI LELEI.....PLAINTIFF/APPLICANT**

**VERSUS**

**HOSEA BITTOK.....1<sup>ST</sup> DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT**

**THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE KAPSARET**

**BONDENI SELF HELP GROUP.....2<sup>ND</sup> DEFENDANT/RESPONDET**

**RULING**

This ruling is in respect of an application dated 28<sup>th</sup> April 2020 by the plaintiff/applicant seeking for the following orders:

- a) That there be a stay of execution of the orders of eviction made on the 25<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 in Eldoret ELC. No. 414 of 2012 Kimutai Lelei vs Hosea Kibitok & another pending the hearing and determination of Eldoret Court of Appeal Civil Application No. 9 of 2020 Kimutai Lelei vs Hosea Kibitok.
- b) That an injunction do issue against the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent restraining him whether by himself, his servants and/or agents from evicting the plaintiff or alienating or harassing him in the occupancy of land on PIONEER/NGERIA BLOCK 1(EATEC)113 pending the hearing and determination of Eldoret Court of Appeal Civil Application No. 9 of 2020 Kimutai Lelei vs Hosea Kibitok.
- c) THAT the costs of the application be provided for.

Counsel agreed to canvass the application by way of written submissions which were duly filed.

**ANALYSIS AND DETERMINATION**

This is an application for stay of eviction orders granted on 25<sup>th</sup> February 2020. The applicant herein had made an application for stay of execution of the judgment and decree which ruling was delivered on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2019 and the same was dismissed with costs to the 1<sup>st</sup> defendant

Eviction orders are by their very nature execution of a judgment and comprehensively covered under **Order 42 Rule 6**. This makes the court *functus officio* as the court had rendered itself on the same issue of stay of execution. The applicant can move to the next level of the hierarchy of courts. Trying to have a second bite of the cherry cannot work in the court that is *functus officio*. This application amounts to abuse of court process.

I have considered the application, the submission of counsel and will therefore not write an elaborate ruling on this issue as the record speaks for itself. I find that the application lacks merit and is hereby dismissed with costs to the 1<sup>st</sup> defendant/respondent.

**DATED and DELIVERED at ELDORET this 15<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JULY, 2020**

**M. A. ODENY**

*JUDGE*



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)