



Case Number:	Tribunal Case 163 of 2019
Date Delivered:	07 Nov 2019
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Cooperative Tribunal
Case Action:	-
Judge:	B.Kimemia-Chairman R.Mwambura –Member P.Swanya-Member
Citation:	Muriithi Memeu v N.H.I.F Saving & Credit Society Ltd [2019] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Tribunal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Nairobi
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-

The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE CO-OPERATIVE TRIBUNAL AT NAIROBI

TRIBUNAL CASE NO 163 OF 2019

MURIITHI MEMEU.....CLAIMANT

VERSUS

N.H.I.F SAVING & CREDIT SOCIETY LTDRESPONDENT

RULING

Matter for determination is Notice of Motion application dated 20/6/2019 seeking the following orders;-

(a) That this honourable court be pleased to strike out the respondent's defence herein dated 5/4/2019 and order that judgment be entered in favour of the claimant against the respondent as prayed for in the statement of claim plus costs and interest thereof.

(b) That the Respondent to pay the costs of this application.

(c) That the honourable court be pleased to issue any other relief that it may deem fit.

On the grounds on the face of the application and the supporting affidavit of MURITHI MEMEU. The same is opposed vide grounds of opposition filed on 23/7/2019 on the grounds the application is baseless;-

(1) That, the application is baseless and a gross abuse of the process of this court. It is not grounded on principles of Law and the same is vexatious and brought in bad faith and ought to be dismissed .

(2) That, the defence clearly raises a defence and the same is not a Defence in admission.

(3) That, the application is not grounded on proper principles of Law. The same lacks merit.

(4) The Respondent prays that the same be dismissed with costs.

Parties filed written submissions to dispense the application. The claimant submits that the grounds of opposition were baseless since no evidence has been attached to discredit the statement of claim on the amount claimed.

That statement of defence is unmerited and a mere waste of the court's time since the defence is not supported by documents to rebut the statement of claim.

That under Order 2 Rule 15 Civil Procedure Rule the grounds of opposition are baseless since the defence disclose no triable issues and it delays the fair trial of his claim. They have cited **Margaret Njeri Mbugua .vs. Kirk Mweya Nyega (2016) eKLR**. That the Respondent has not rebutted it's indebtedness to the claimants. So judgment should be entered for the sum of Kshs. 875,000/ less Kshs.20,500/ alleged to be the share capital. That it is also clear from the Society's by Laws that refunds must and shall be made within 60 days of Notice of withdrawal.

The Respondent submitted that the defence has raised a triable issue being that the claimant seeks Kshs.875,000/- less Kshs. 20,500/- hence the money due to him is Kshs. 844,500/-. That since the defence raises triable issues it should go for trial . That the defence it struck out will be in infringement on the constitutional right to fair administrative action.

That they will be denied to have their dispute resolved in a fair and public hearing without getting their rightful chance to hearing. They have cited **TRANSEND .MEDIA GROUP LTD .Vs. INDEPENDENT ELECTORIAL BOUNDARIES COMMISSION(2015) eKLR.**

We have carefully considered the submission of the parties and the pleadings on record and we note that the claim is a refund of share contribution amounting to Kshs. 875,000/- as per the statement of claim dated 7/3/2019. We have also looked at the statement of defence dated 5/4/2019 which admits that the claimant was a member no. 1018 and withdrew his membership on 26.7.2018.

In paragraph 3, it states " the respondent denies that the claimant shares amount to Kshs.875,000/= as there is a non-refundable share capital and membership fees of Kshs. 20,500/-, that has not been factored. This clearing shows that there is a dispute on the membership of the claimant to the respondent, that indeed the claimants share are ksh.875,000/- less share capital and membership fee of ksh.20,500/, which leaves a figure of Kshs.844,500/-. The claim amount is also in the payslip for September 2018. There were no documents or witness statement filed alongside the defence. The issues in the claim are;-

- 1) *Whether the claimant was a member of the respondent.*
- 2) *If he was a member what was the share contribution.*
- 3) *Whether there was notice of withdrawal issued to the respondent.*
- 4) *Whether there were any amounts deductible from the share and contributions.*

In this case it is clear that Notice of withdrawal was issued as submitted by the respondent. That the defence of the respondent only refers to deductible share **Capital and membership fee amounting Kshs.20,500/- of which the claimant has submitted as not contested, if deducted from the amount claimed.**

That the amount claimed is supported by the payslip of the claimant for the month of September, 2018 a fact not contested in the defence.

However, in paragraph 7 of the claimant's, we note the defence raises the issue that the claimant guaranteed a member for an amount of Kshs.659,000/ and the claimant had not be discharged. However on the letter dated 4/9/2018 addressed to the claimant the same is clear that on the claimant issuing notice to withdraw and the respondent wrote indicating that as at 31.8.2018 he was undischarged guarantor to Mariam Kilo Maingi for the said amount .

The claimant/ respondent vide the letter dated 10.9.2018 confirming that he had communicated the said Mariam on the issue of replacing him as a guarantor with an Joseph Kinoti Membership No. 1952 whose shares stood at Kshs. 915,000/-, the same percentage that he had guaranteed . Both of them appended their signature to confirm the changes. Thereafter, the respondent by the letter dated 21.9.2018 communicated to the claimant that they had no other objection to the intention to withdrawn after replacement of the guarantorship.

In the circumstances, we note that the amount of contribution stood at Kshs. 875,000/- in September, 2018 as per the payslip.

We therefore find that the issues as claimed and cited above can be determined in a summary manner, hence, reducing the time for trial for purposes of expeditious determination of matters as enumerated Rule 3 and 4 of the Co-operative Tribunal Practise and procedure rules.

We, therefore allow the application dated 20.6.2019 with costs and accordingly enter judgment in favour of the claimant against the respondent for Kshs. (875,000-20,500), Kshs. 844,500/- plus costs and interest of the suit.

Read and delivered in open court, this 7th of **November** 2019

In the presence of:

Claimant: Miss Obwori holding brief for Gitonga Muriuki for the claimant.

Respondent: None appearance.

Court Assistant: Leweri and Buluma.

B.Kimemia - **Chairman-signed**

R.Mwambura - **Member-signed**

P.Swanya - **Member-signed**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)