



| | |
|--|--|
| Case Number: | Cause 260 of 2018 |
| Date Delivered: | 20 Dec 2018 |
| Case Class: | Civil |
| Court: | Employment and Labour Relations Court at Kisumu |
| Case Action: | Ruling |
| Judge: | Mathews Nderi Nduma |
| Citation: | Union of Kenya Civil Servants v Homabay County Public Service Board, County Secretary - Homabay County & Governor - Homabay County [2018] eKLR |
| Advocates: | - |
| Case Summary: | - |
| Court Division: | Employment and Labour Relations |
| History Magistrates: | - |
| County: | Kisumu |
| Docket Number: | - |
| History Docket Number: | - |
| Case Outcome: | Application dismissed |
| History County: | - |
| Representation By Advocates: | - |
| Advocates For: | - |
| Advocates Against: | - |
| Sum Awarded: | - |
| <p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p> | |

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE EMPLOYMENT AND LABOUR RELATIONS COURT AT KISUMU

CAUSE NO. 260 OF 2018

(Before Hon. Justice Mathews N. Nduma)

UNION OF KENYA CIVIL SERVANTS.....CLAIMANT

VERSUS

1. HOMABAY COUNTY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

2. COUNTY SECRETARY HOMABAY COUNTY

3. THE GOVERNOR HOMABAY COUNTY.....RESPONDENTS

RULING

1. The Applicant seeks an order suspending the operationalization of the notices of termination of the Claimants' members' contracts dated 20th April, 2018 and restraining the Respondents from advertising for the positions held by the Claimants' members named pending the hearing and determination of the claim.

2. The application is based on the grounds set out on the face of the Notice of Motion to wit:-

- a. The 2nd Respondent has issued back dated notices of termination of the Claimants' members' jobs without authority of the 1st Respondent.
- b. The Respondent may at any time advertise for the positions held by the Claimants.
- c. The conduct by the 2nd Respondent amounts to unfair labour practice since the employees were not given any hearing.

Response

3. The Respondents filed a replying affidavit sworn by Mr. George Illah, Principal Legal Officer of the Respondents. He states that the employees were illegal ghost employees and their application has no merit. That termination notices were issued to stop spiraling wage bill of the Respondents that had arisen due to irregularities and collusion of the employees.

4. The Respondents pray that the application be dismissed with costs.

Determination

5. For an application for interim injunction to issue, the applicant must satisfy the requirements set out in the case of **Giella vs Cassman Brown** by –

- i. Establishing a prima facie case with probability of success.
- ii. By showing that the applicants are likely to incur irreparable loss if the injunction is not granted and
- iii. The balance of convenience favours the grant of the injunction.

6. The Claimant presented letters of appointment of the grievants and notices of termination of the appointments. Whereas the letters of appointments produced bear signatures and the names of the author, all the letters of termination annexed to the application are incomplete and do not show the persons who wrote them.

7. Secondly, the purported terminations were with effect from 1st July, 2018 and the letters of termination are dated 20th April, 2018. It is therefore not correct to say, as was submitted by counsel for the Applicants that the terminations were backdated.

8. Furthermore, the Application was filed on 12th July, 2018 after the termination letters had taken effect.

9. Courts of equity do not issue injunctions in vain. The horse had already bolted from the Kennel by the time the application for injunction was filed.

10. The prayer as framed, amounts to reinstating the grievants to their positions before the hearing and determination of the suit.

11. Accordingly, the balance of convenience in this case is for the suit to be heard and determined on merits before any orders are issued if at all, in favour of the grievants.

12. The application is dismissed with costs.

Dated, Delivered and Signed in Kisumu this 20th day of December, 2018

Mathews N. Nduma

Judge

Appearances

Mr. Nyamwea for Claimant/Applicant

M/s Adoyo for Respondent

Chrispo – Court Clerk



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)