



Case Number:	Environment & Land Case 380 of 2017
Date Delivered:	21 Jul 2017
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Nairobi (Milimani Law Courts)
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Samson Odhiambo Okong'o
Citation:	Carlos Santos Lozano v M K Ndau & 4 others [2017] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Land and Environment
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Application allowed
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE ENVIRONMENT AND LAND COURT AT NAIROBI

ELC NO.380 OF 2017

(FORMERLY HCCC NO.298 OF 1994)

CARLOS SANTOS LOZANO..... PLAINTIFF

VERSUS

1. M.K.NDAU

2. NDAMPER ENTERPRISES

3. HOUSING FINANCE COMPANY LTD.

4. DOMIZIANO KABURU NJERU

5. HARUN WAKANENE MBUTHIA..... DEFENDANTS

RULING

The Plaintiff brought this suit against the defendants on 25th January 1994. Together with the plaint, the plaintiff filed an application by way of Chamber Summons of the same date seeking among others, an order restraining the Registrar of Titles from registering or effecting the transfer or any dealings with L.R. No. 1160/290 Nairobi and L.R. No. 13080 Nairobi pending the hearing and determination of the suit. The plaintiff's application was heard ex parte in the first instance by Pall J. who made an order on 25th January 1994 restraining the Registrar of Titles from registering the transfer which had been lodged with him under Day Book Number 267 on 18th January 1994.

The Plaintiff extracted the order and caused it to be registered against the title of L.R. No. 13080 Nairobi on 27th January 1994 which by then was registered in the name of the 3rd defendant. The plaintiff's application was heard inter partes and dismissed as against the 3rd defendant on 3rd May 1994 by Ole Keiwua J. In the ruling by Ole Keiwua J., the court declined to grant injunction in relation to L.R. No. 13080 Nairobi. In my view, the said ruling discharged the ex parte order which had been issued by Pall J. in favour of the plaintiff on 25th January 1994. From the date of that ruling there was no longer any justification for maintaining the said order against the title of L.R. No. 13080 Nairobi.

For the reasons which are not clear from the record, the order by Pall J. aforesaid remained registered against the title of L.R. No. 13080 Nairobi. The plaintiff's suit was heard and dismissed by Mbito J. on 10th September 1998. The plaintiff was dissatisfied with the said decision and filed an application in the Court of Appeal namely, Civil Application No.NAI. 218 OF 1998(UR.88/98) seeking interlocutory injunction restraining the defendants herein from transferring, alienating, charging, disposing of or in any other way dealing with L.R. No. 1160/290 Nairobi and L.R. No. 13080 Nairobi pending the hearing and determination of the appeal he intended to file.

The Court of Appeal heard the application and dismissed the same as against the 3rd defendant on 9th October 1998. The Court of Appeal held that the plaintiff had no cause of action against the 3rd

defendant in relation to the sale to the 3rd defendant of L.R No. 13080 Nairobi. It is not clear from the record whether the plaintiff filed an appeal in the Court of Appeal after the dismissal of his application for injunction against the 3rd defendant. Mr. Isore informed me from the bar that no such appeal was filed.

What is now before me is the 3rd defendant's application dated 16th May 2017 seeking an order for the removal of a caveat that was registered against the title of L.R No. 13080 Nairobi on 27th January 1994 pursuant to the ex parte order of Pall J. which I have referred to above. The application was not opposed. In summary, the 3rd defendant has contended that the plaintiff's suit having been dismissed and the plaintiff having lost an application for injunction in the Court of Appeal as concerns L.R No. 13080 Nairobi, the continued maintenance of a caveat on the title of L.R No. 13080 Nairobi on the strength of the order of Pall J. which was made ex parte on 25th January 1994 aforesaid cannot be justified.

In view of the history of this suit which I have set out above, I am in agreement with the 3rd defendant that the caveat on the title of L.R No. 13080 Nairobi is unjustified. I find merit in the 3rd defendant's application dated 16th May 2017 which I hereby allow in terms of prayer 3 thereof.

Delivered and signed at Nairobi this 21st day of July 2017

S. OKONG'O

JUDGE

Ruling delivered in open court in the presence of:

N/A for the Plaintiff

Ms. Mwachiro for the 1st Defendant

N/A for 2nd Defendant

Mr. Orege for the 3rd Defendant

Mr. Mureithi h/b for Kingori for the 4th Defendant

Catherine Court Assistant



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)