



Case Number:	Election Petition Appeal 97 of 2017
Date Delivered:	14 Jun 2017
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Nairobi (Milimani Law Courts)
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Luka Kiprotich Kimaru
Citation:	Jackline Atieno Ongoro v Orange Democratic Movement (ODM) & another [2017] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Constitutional and Judicial Review
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Nairobi
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Appeal allowed
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT NAIROBI**

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND JUDICIAL REVIEW DIVISION**

**ELECTION PETITION APPEAL NO.97 OF 2017**

**JACKLINE ATIENO ONGORO.....APPELLANT**

**VERSUS**

**ORANGE DEMOCRATIC MOVEMENT (ODM).....1<sup>ST</sup> RESPONDENT**

**BASSIL OTIENO ODERO.....2<sup>ND</sup> RESPONDENT**

**JUDGMENT**

It was clear to this court that the tribunal (Political Parties Dispute Tribunal) erred when, upon setting aside its judgment of 13<sup>th</sup> May 2017, it proceeded to grant orders in favour of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent without hearing the merits of the complaint lodged by the Appellant in her initial complaint. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent's application seeking to review the judgment of the tribunal was predicated upon his contention that he had not been served, and that the earlier judgment issued by the Political Parties Dispute Tribunal was issued without his knowledge. The Political Parties Dispute Tribunal upheld the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent's assertion that he was not served. This court having read the passage of the Ruling of the tribunal agrees with the finding in that regard. However, this court notes that the Political Parties Dispute Tribunal proceeded to grant orders in favour of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent without the benefit of hearing both parties in accordance with the merits or otherwise of their respective cases. The Appellant has a case when she says that she was condemned unheard by the tribunal. This court sees no basis upon which the Political Parties Dispute Tribunal issued the orders in favour of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent after setting aside its judgment.

In the premises therefore, the Appellant partially succeeds in her appeal as a result of which the orders made in Paragraph 21 of the Ruling dated 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017 is set aside and substituted by an order of this court requiring the tribunal to hear the complaint lodged by the Appellant on its merits. The parties shall appear before the Political Parties Dispute Tribunal on **15<sup>th</sup> June 2017 at 9.00 a.m.** for directions regarding the hearing, on merits, of the complaint that was lodged by the Appellant. The Political Parties Dispute Tribunal is directed to render its decision as soon as possible in any event not later than **4.00 p.m.** on **16<sup>th</sup> June 2017**. There shall be no orders as to costs.

**DATED AT NAIROBI THIS 14<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JUNE 2017**

**L. KIMARU**

**JUDGE**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)