



Case Number:	Criminal Miscellaneous Application 13 of 2016
Date Delivered:	12 Oct 2016
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Kitui
Case Action:	Revision
Judge:	Lilian Nabwire Mutende
Citation:	Musyoka Mwanzi v Republic [2016] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Kitui
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Appeal allowed
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT KITUI

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO. 13 OF 2016

MUSYOKA MWANZI.....APPLICANT

VERSUS

REPUBLIC.....RESPONDENT

ORDER ON REVISION

1. This file has been placed before me pursuant to the provisions of **Section 362** of the **Criminal Procedure Code**. I am obligated to examine the record of proceedings before the subordinate court to satisfy myself of the correctness, legality or propriety and regularity of the proceedings of the court.

2. The circumstances of this case are that the matter was initially heard by **Hon. Kibiru, Ag. Chief Magistrate**. Prior to being transferred he had directed that the Complainant in the matter who had not been declared a vulnerable witness be availed in court for purposes of testifying. When the matter went before **Hon. Rose Ombata, Resident Magistrate** she complied with **Section 211** of the **Criminal Procedure Code** whereafter the Accused indicated that he wished the matter to proceed from where it had reached.

3. On the **20th May, 2015** the matter was placed before **Hon. Lesootia, Senior Resident Magistrate** who complied with **Section 211** of the **Criminal Procedure Code** and put the Accused on his defence. Thereafter the Accused absconded. **Hon. Lesootia, Senior Resident Magistrate** was subsequently transferred and **Hon. R. Ombata, Resident Magistrate** took over the matter whereafter she noted the anomaly.

4. **Section 200(3)** of the **Criminal Procedure Code** provides thus:

“(3) Where a succeeding magistrate commences the hearing of proceedings

and part of the evidence has been recorded by his predecessor, the accused person may demand that any witness be resummoned and reheard and the succeeding magistrate shall inform the accused person of that right.”

5. Following the transfer of **Hon. Kibiru, Ag. Chief Magistrate** Judicial Officers who took over the matter from him ought to have complied with **Section 200(3)** of the **Criminal Procedure Code**. This was not done.

6. It is important to note that **Hon. Lesootia, Senior Resident Magistrate** purported to comply with **Section 211** of the **Criminal Procedure Code** prior to the Prosecution closing its case as required by the law.

7. The trial was vitiated by the error made by the learned Magistrates. In the premises I set aside proceedings that were not proper in the circumstances and order the matter to be heard denovo before **Hon. R. Ombata, Resident Magistrate**.

8. It is so ordered.

Dated, Signed and Delivered at Kitui this 12th day of October, 2016.

L. N. MUTENDE

JUDGE



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)