



Case Number:	Criminal Appeal 493 of 2003
Date Delivered:	25 Jul 2005
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Nakuru
Case Action:	-
Judge:	Muga Apondi
Citation:	David Mwaniki Mwangi v Republic [2005] eKLR
Advocates:	Gumo for State,
Case Summary:	Criminal Law and procedure - appeal from sentence and conviction for of stealing stock,contrary to Section 278 of the Penal Code - Conduct of proceedings by unqualified prosecutor
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Allowed
History County:	Baringo
Representation By Advocates:	Neither party represented
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA

AT NAKURU

Criminal Appeal 493 of 2003

(From original conviction and sentence in Criminal Case No. 1900 of 2002 of the Resident Magistrate's Court at Nyahururu –C. N. Sifuna)

DAVID MWANIKI MWANGI.....APPELLANT

VERSUS

REPUBLIC.....RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT

The Appellant had been charged for the offence of stealing stock, contrary to Section 278 of the Penal Code. The facts for the prosecution case as stated in the Charge Sheet are as follows:

“On the night of 27th and 28th day of June, 2002 at Kahutha village in Nyandarua District of the Central Province stole 2 cows valued at Kshs.30,000 the property of ISAAC KIBE NJAMA.

Alternative Charge:

“On the 28th day of June, 2002 at Mutanga area in Nyandarua District of the Central Province, otherwise than in the court of stealing, dishonestly retained 2 cows knowing or having reason to believe them to be stolen or unlawfully detained.”

From the record, it is apparent that the Appellant was arraigned in Court on 3rd July, 2002 and he denied the charge. Consequently, the facts were not read out to him due to the above position. It is surprising and erroneous for the learned Magistrate viz, C. N. Sifuna to have called for a Probation Report and purport to act on the same.

Secondly, the Court concurs with Mr. Gumo, Assistant Deputy Public Prosecutor that the case was conducted by Cpl. Shibeka who was not qualified to prosecute the case as envisaged by Section 85 of

the Criminal Procedure Code. I also concur with him that the proceedings were a nullity ab initio.

In view of the above, I hereby quash the conviction and set aside the sentence of 4 years imprisonment and hard labour. The Appellant should be released forthwith unless held lawfully.

Judgment written, read and signed in open Court.

MUGA APONDI

JUDGE

25TH JULY, 2005



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)