



Case Number:	Criminal Case 20 of 2014
Date Delivered:	30 Nov 2016
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Garissa
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	George Matatia Abaleka Dulu
Citation:	Republic v Adow Issack Kullow [2016] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Garissa
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-

The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT GARISSA

CRIMINAL CASE NO. 20 OF 2014

REPUBLIC.....PROSECUTOR

VERSUS

ADOW ISSACK KULLOW.....ACCUSED

RULING

The accused Adow Issack Kullow was brought to this court on 13th October 2014 charged with the offence of murder contrary to section 203 as read with section 204 of the Penal Code. The particulars of the offence are that on 4th October 2014 at Bulla Dana in Rhamu Township Rhamu SubCounty within Mandera County murdered one Jimalle Muhammed. He pleaded not guilty to the charge.

The case was thereafter mentioned on a number of occasions, and has not commenced hearing todate. The case has been fixed for hearing a number of occasions but has not been heard, and learned Prosecuting Counsel indicated to the court that he was finding it difficult to get witnesses.

On the 14th of September 2016, learned Prosecuting Counsel Mr. Okemwa informed the court that though the matter had previously been fixed to hearing a number of times, the prosecution had failed to proceed with the matter because the police were not able to trace witnesses as the said witnesses were unwilling to come to court, as compensation had already been paid to the family of the victim. Counsel relied on a letter dated 29th February 2016 from the police at Mandera as evidence of such frustrations. Counsel also relied on minutes of meetings in which, compensation of 1million shillings was agreed between the Degodia and Garre clans of the Somali community which was attended by the County Commissioner of Mandera Mr. Kuria, as well as the Chief Officer of the National Cohesion and Integration Commission.

Counsel submitted therefore that in view of the above, it was his opinion that Article 159 of the Constitution of Kenya which required courts to embrace alternative methods of dispensing justice including reconciliation, should be applied in the present case. As such counsel argued that there is no other sensible way for the exercise of the powers of the Director of Public Prosecutions under Article 157 of the Constitution, than asking for withdrawal of the case under section 25 (1) of the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions Act of 2012. Counsel thus urged this court to grant permission for discontinuance of the case. Counsel relied on a case of ***Republic Vs. Mohamed Adow Mohamed Nairobi High Court Criminal Case No. 86 of 2011.***

Mr. Nyasani learned counsel for the defence, submitted that the prosecution had taken unduly long to ask for the withdrawal of the case. Counsel appreciated however what the prosecuting counsel had said and stated that the defence did not object to the request of the prosecuting counsel for discontinuance of the case.

I have considered the matter. I have perused the letter dated 27th June 2016 addressed to the Office of

the Director of Public Prosecutions at Garissa and signed by Samuel Sakwa an inspector of police, for the DCIO Mandera North. In that letter it was stated that two clans of the accused and the deceased had reached a compromise in the matter. The Garre clan had agreed to pay the Degodia clan 1 million shillings as compensation. They also agreed that a person who was injured in the fight from the Degodia clan be paid 40,000/= and his medical bills be paid by the Garre clan. The said letter attached minutes of the two clans of meetings held in October 2014 which evidenced the said agreements. I have also perused the said minutes.

I appreciate that the charge brought against the accused person is a serious charge of murder. However, as the prosecuting counsel has correctly pointed out, this court cannot force witnesses to come and testify. Admittedly, it is the responsibility and duty of witnesses to assist the court and tender evidence for justice to be done. In the present case however, the witnesses are not available because the two clans Garre and Degodia have amicably settled the dispute through payment of compensation to the Degodia clan.

In my view therefore and in the circumstances of this particular case, this court may invoke the provisions of Article 159 of the Constitution and promote alternative justice system, through the reconciliation which has already been conducted.

Allowing the withdrawal of this case, as requested by the Prosecuting Counsel at this stage, does not in any case result in an acquittal of the accused, as he can still be charged for the same facts and same offence.

I thus allow the request of the Director of Public Prosecutions and grant permission for the criminal proceedings herein to be discontinued. As such the criminal proceedings herein are hereby discontinued under section 25 of the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions Act, and the accused is thus discharged.

Dated and delivered at Garissa this 12th day of October 2016

GEORGE DULU

JUDGE



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)