



Case Number:	Misc Civil Appli 180 of 2001
Date Delivered:	27 Jul 2005
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Nyeri
Case Action:	-
Judge:	Hannah Magondi Okwengu
Citation:	Jadiel Muthike Njiru v Njagi Kithinji [2005] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	[RULING]Civil Procedure - application to reinstate an interlocutory application dismissed for non-attendance - applicant's advocate stating in affidavit that he had been unwell - duty to of the applicant to give satisfactory reasons for the non-attendance.
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Allowed
History County:	Baringo
Representation By Advocates:	Neither party represented
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA
AT NYERI
HIGH COURT MISC. APPL. 180 OF 2001

JADIEL MUTHIKE NJIRU APPLICANT
VERSUS
NJAGI KITHINJI RESPONDENT

R U L I N G

Jadiel Muthike Njiru, hereinafter referred to as the applicant seeks to have his application dated 1st November 2002 reinstated. The application was dismissed on 8th May 2002 by Hon. Juma J. for non-attendance. In an affidavit sworn by the applicant's advocate, the advocate explains that he was unwell and sent his clerk to get an advocate to hold his brief and that the advocate who held his brief indicated on his file that the suit was marked S.O.G. and that he only came to realize later that the application was dismissed for non-attendance and therefore filed this application.

Although the advocate claims to have been unwell, no document has been annexed to support this contention. Further no affidavit has been availed from the clerk nor has the name of the advocate who allegedly held brief been disclosed. I find that the reasons being given for the absence of the counsel are not substantiated and therefore not satisfactory.

Accordingly I find no merit in the application and do therefore dismiss the application to reinstate the application dated 1st November 2002. Costs to the Respondent.

Dated this 27th day of July 2005

H. M. OKWENGU
JUDGE



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)