



Case Number:	Civil Appeal 99 of 2002
Date Delivered:	03 Dec 2004
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	High Court at Kakamega
Case Action:	-
Judge:	George Benedict Maina Kariuki
Citation:	David Ledama Ole Muri v Nicholas M. Wanjala [2004] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	Appeal from the Appeals Committee - Jurisdiction of the Land Disputes Tribunal - Section 3 Land Disputes Tribunals Act 1990.
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA**

**AT KAKAMEGA**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 99 OF 2002**

**DAVID LEDAMA OLE MURI ::::::::::::::: APPELLANT**

**V E R S U S**

**NICHOLAS M. WANJALA ::::::::::::::: RESPONDENT**

**J U D G M E N T**

The Appeal to this court by David Ledama Ole Muri, the Appellant, was against the decision dated 12-8-2002 made by the appeals Committee in which it (the Appeals Committee) gave the Applicant ½ an acre of the plot of land known as No.741 and dismissed the Plaintiff's/Appellant's claim over the plot of land known as No.1812. The appeal was filed in time. The Appellant in his memorandum of appeal put forward 3 grounds in which he contended that the Appeals Committee had no jurisdiction to make the decision it made, that the appeal to the appeals Committee from the District Land Disputes Tribunal was probably out of time, and that the decision of the Appeals Committee was biased.

During the hearing of the appeal on 6-10-2004, the parties appeared in person. The appellant relied on his grounds of appeal and requested the court to set aside the decision of the Appeals Committee. Nicholas M. Wanjala, the Respondent, submitted that the appeal had no merit and requested the court to dismiss it. It seems both the Appellant and the Respondent had taken their dispute to the LUGARI LAND DISPUTES TRIBUNAL in Tribunal case No.8/2000 in which the said tribunal ruled that:-

**“The elders exhaustively heard from both parties and ruled that Mr. Nicholas Mayakula (Respondent herein) should demolish the fence around the shamba and Ladama (the**

**appellant herein) should remain in his plot.” “The party that was not satisfied was allowed to appeal to Provincial Land Tribunal within 30 days w.e.f. today.”**

It seems this decision by the Tribunal did not go outside the ambit of its jurisdiction under Section 3 of Act 18 of 1990 (Land Disputes Tribunals Act 1990) There is no merit in the appeal and the same is dismissed with no order as to costs.

***Dated at Kakamega this 3rd day of December, 2004.***

**G. B. M. KARIUKI**

**J U D G E**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)