



Case Number:	Misc Civil Application 48 of 2015
Date Delivered:	09 Nov 2015
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Environment and Land Court at Meru
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Peter Muchoki Njoroge
Citation:	Charity Nyaruai Nyamu v Abdirahim Haji Ahmed [2015] eKLR
Advocates:	Mr Ondieki for the Applicant
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Land and Environment
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Meru
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Application allowed in terms of prayer 5 only
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	One party or some parties represented
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT MERU**

**MISC CIVIL APPLICATION NO 48 OF 2015**

**CHARITY NYARUAI NYAMU.....APPLICANT**

**VERSUS**

**ABDIRAHIM HAJI AHMED .....RESPONDENT**

**R U L I N G**

This application is dated 2<sup>nd</sup> day of July, 2015. It states that it is predicated upon Order 51 Rule 1 and 3 of the Civil procedure Rules and Section 6, 17 and 18 of the Civil Procedure Act and all the enabling provisions. It seeks order that:-

1. ***This application be certified as urgent.***
2. ***That service of this application be dispensed with in the 1<sup>st</sup> instance.***
3. ***That this application be heard exparte in the 1<sup>st</sup> instance.***
4. ***That there be stay of proceedings in Isiolo Senior Principal Magistrate's case No 33 of 2011 pending the hearing and determination of this application.***
5. ***That there be stay of the proceedings in ISIOLO SPMCC NO. 33 of 2011 until the hearing and determination of Meru High Court Constitutional Petition No. 33 of 2011.***
6. ***That in the alternative, Isiolo SPMCC No. 33 of 2011 be transferred to Meru High Court and be consolidated with High Court Constitutional Petition No. 6 of 2011.***
7. ***That cost incidental to this application be provided for by the respondent.***

The application is buttressed by the affidavit of **Charity Nyaruai Nyamu** and has the following grounds:-

- a. ***That the suit property herein is plot No. MB 478 within Mwangaza Area of Isiolo.***
- b. ***That the respondent is alleged to have balloted this plot and he was given by the now defunct County Council of Isiolo.***
- c. ***That the whole process of the alleged balloting is contested by the residents of Mwangaza Area of Isiolo in Constitutional Petition No. 6 of 2011 where the applicant is one of the Petitioners.***
- d. ***That the respondent has sued the applicant in Isiolo SPMCC No 33 of 2011 on the basis of***

***that illegal balloting which residents are challenging as a community in Petition No. 6 of 2011.***

***e. That the applicant will be greatly prejudiced if there is contradictory decision in Isiolo SPMCC No. 33 of 2011 and in Petition No. 6 of 2011 at Meru High Court.***

This matter came up for directions to confirm filing and exchange of Submissions on 9/11/2015. The applicant has filed Submissions but the Respondent has not done so. I do confirm that the respondent's Advocate had been properly served and therefore aware of this date. As he has not filed his Submissions, I am unable to fix a date for the Ruling.

Mr. Ondieki has asked the Court to allow this application as the respondent is merely delaying the matter. I find Mr. Ondieki's oral application meritorious. In the Circumstances, **the application is allowed in terms of prayer 5 only. Costs shall be in the cause.**

**Delivered in Open Court at Meru this 9<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2015 in the presence of:-**

CC: Lilian /Daniel

Ondieki for the Applicant.

**P. M. NJORGE**

**JUDGE**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)