



Case Number:	civ app 25 of 97
Date Delivered:	23 Nov 1998
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Court of Appeal at Kisumu
Case Action:	Judgment
Judge:	Zakayo Richard Chesoni
Citation:	William Abira Kebaki v Edward Obino Kenyariri & another [1998] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Civil
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Kisumu
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	H.C.C.C. NO. 31 OF 1981
Case Outcome:	Appeal dismissed.
History County:	Kisii
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL
AT KISUMU**

**(CORAM: CHESONI, C.J GICHERU & AKIWUMI, JJ.A
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 25 OF 1997**

BETWEEN

**WILLIAM ABIRA KEBAKI.....APPLICANT
AND
1. EDWARD OBINO KENYARIRI
2. BARCLAYS BANK OF KENYA LTD.....RESPONDENT**

**(An appeal from the judgment of the High Court of Kenya
at Kisii (Justice Mbaluto) dated 11th August, 1995
in
H.C.C.C. NO. 31 OF 1981)**

JUDGMENT OF CHESONI, C.J.

This case involved a transaction in agricultural land which was subject to the Land Control Act (Cap.302, Laws of Kenya). The facts and sequence of events are set out in the judgment of my learned brother Gicheru, J.A., so I shall not repeat them.

In the agreement of sale made between Augstino Omwamba Okundi (the seller) and William Abira Kebaki (the appellant Purchaser) the parties agreed to go to the land board for consent after the final instalment of the purchase price had been paid.

The payment of the purchase price itself was spread over a period of two years. Section 8(1) of the Land Control Board provides as follows:-

"8 (1) An application for consent in respect of a controlled transaction shall be made in the prescribed form to the appropriate land control board within six months of the making of the agreement for the controlled transaction by any party thereto."

The parties had therefore by their own agreement put themselves outside the period for obtaining the land board's consent to the transaction. I agree with the superior court (Mbaluto, J.'s) observation that:

"The position therefore is that there is no evidence that the plaintiff obtained the necessary land control board consent for the transaction he entered with the 1st defendant to purchase plot No. Nyaribari Masaba/Bomobea/1464 and 1463 as provided by Section 6 of the Land Control Act the transaction between him and the 1st defendant became void for all purposes after the expiry of 6 months of the agreement between him and the 1st defendants."

I would for the reason given above dismiss the appeal with no order as to costs.

Safe for Akiwumi J.A's order on costs as Gicheru and Akiwumi, JJ.A agree the appeal is dismissed in the terms proposed in Gicheru, J.A's judgment. Order accordingly.

Dated and delivered at Kisumu this 23rd day of November, 1998.

Z. R. CHESONI

CHIEF JUSTICE



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)