



Case Number:	Misc Civil Appli 168 of 2004
Date Delivered:	12 May 2005
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Court of Appeal at Nyeri
Case Action:	-
Judge:	Philip Kiptoo Tunoi
Citation:	Sampson Nderitu Karitu v Martha Watetu Karitu & another [2005] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	Civil Practice and Procedure - application for extension of time to file Record of Appeal
Court Division:	-
History Magistrates:	-
County:	-
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	-
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL**

**AT NYERI**

**CORAM: TUNOI, J.A. (IN CHAMBERS)**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. NAI. 168 OF 2004 (NYR.12/2004)**

**BETWEEN**

**SAMPSON NDERITU KARITU.....APPLICANT**

**AND**

**1. MARTHA WATETU KARITU** substituted by

**MARY WANJIKU KARITU**

**2. JOSEPH NDUMIA KARITU.....RESPONDENTS**

(Application for extension of time to file Record of Appeal from a judgment of the High Court of Kenya at Nyeri (Juma, J) dated 13.3.03

**in**

**H.C.SUCC.C. NO. 19 OF 1999)**

\*\*\*\*\*

**R U L I N G**

The matter in issue in the intended appeal is a family land. It appears indeed sensitive. It is true there have been delays here and there in lodging the intended appeal. The delay is about 79 days. It appears inordinate on the face of it but it has been explained to my satisfaction. It was caused by the Court's Registry which gave an erroneous advice.

I am satisfied that if I grant the application for extension of time to lodge the intended appeal the respondents will not be prejudiced in any manner. Perhaps it will ease the animosity within the family and probably the intended appeal if heard and determined will erase a permanent source of friction and fights between the parties.

I need not reiterate here that it is an established practice of this Court that all land disputes, wherever possible, should be finally determined by this Court and that no party who desires to be heard by the Court should be driven out of the seat of justice by technical application of the Rules see **JOHN KIURIA VS. HELLEN WAHITU C.A. 19 OF 1985 (unreported)**.

I think that the applicant, having expressed his wish to have the appeal heard by this Court, should not be denied the right to do so. The interests of justice so demands because the breaches of the rules

he is alleged to have committed cannot be allowed do frustrate an intended appeal.

I exercise my discretion in favour of the applicant. I grant the application as prayed. The applicant is granted leave to file the Record of Appeal out of time. He shall do so within 21 days hereof. The costs of this application are awarded to the respondents in any event.

**DATED AND DELIVERED AT NYERI this 12th day of May, 2005.**

**P.K. TUNOI**

.....

**JUDGE OF APPEAL**

I certify that this is a

true copy of the original.

**DEPUTY REGISTRAR**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)