



Case Number:	Criminal Case 21 of 2009
Date Delivered:	03 Dec 2014
Case Class:	Criminal
Court:	High Court at Lodwar
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Lilian Nabwire Mutende
Citation:	Republic v Mulinge Moni Ngusyi [2015] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Criminal
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Machakos
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	-
Case Outcome:	Accused put to his defence.
History County:	-
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

**REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT MACHAKOS**

**CRIMINAL CASE NO. 21 OF 2009**

**REPUBLIC .....PROSECUTION**

**VERSUS**

**MULINGE MONI NGUSYI.....ACCUSED**

**R U L I N G**

1. **Mulinge Moni Ngusyi** is charged with murder contrary to **Section 203** as read with **Section 204 of the Penal Code**.

Particulars of the offence being that on the night of **30<sup>th</sup> September 2006** at **Kyaani village, Kaliambeu sub Location, Mbaani Location, Yathui Division in Machakos District** within the **Eastern Province** murdered **Kioko Mululi**.

2. At the close of the prosecution's case there was no direct evidence pointing at a particular individual as to who committed the offence but there was evidence of a blue jeans jacket stated to belong to the deceased which was lightly stained with human blood of **group A**. A sample of blood of the accused was examined and found to be of **group A**.

3. At this stage this court must establish if a prima facie case has been disclosed against the accused. In the case of **Ramanlal Trambakal Bhatt versus Republic (1957) E.A. 332** such a case was defined as one:

***"A reasonable tribunal properly directing its mind to the law and the evidence could convict if no explanation is offered by the defence."***

4. This is a case where the court could convict on evidence adduced subject to the necessary caution if no explanation is tendered. In the circumstances it calls upon this court to have the accused defend himself pursuant to the provisions of **Section 306 (2)** of the **Criminal Procedure Code**.

5. It is so ordered.

**DATED, SIGNED and DELIVERED at MACHAKOS this 3<sup>RD</sup> day of DECEMBER , 2014.**

**L.N. MUTENDE**

**JUDGE**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)