



Case Number:	Civil Application Nai 312 of 2014 (UR 234/2014)
Date Delivered:	19 Dec 2014
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Court of Appeal at Nairobi
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Roselyn Naliaka Nambuye
Citation:	George M. Muhoro v Mwalimu Investment Company Ltd & another [2014] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Civil
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Nairobi
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	H.C.C.C.3568 of 1994
Case Outcome:	Application Certified as Urgent.
History County:	Nairobi
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-
<p>The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.</p>	

REPUBLIC OF KENYA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEAL  
AT NAIROBI

(CORAM: R. N. NAMBUYE, J.A. (In Chambers))

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. NAI 312 OF 2014 (UR 234/2014)

BETWEEN

GEORGE M. MUHORO .....APPLICANT

AND

MWALIMU INVESTMENT COMPANY LTD.....1ST DEFENDANT

G.M. MUHORO .....2ND DEFENDANT/APPLICANT

*(An application for staying pending the hearing and determination of the Civil Appeal No. 339 of 2014 from the High Court of Kenya at Nairobi against the Ruling and Order by (Sergon, J.) delivered 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2014*

*in*

**H.C.C.C. NO. 3568 of 1994)**

\*\*\*\*\*

**RULING**

1. On the 9<sup>th</sup> day of December this matter was placed before me (Nambuye, JA) for certification of urgency. I declined to certify the matter as urgent. The applicant made their letter addressed to the Deputy Registrar, Court of Appeal dated 15<sup>th</sup> day of December 2014, in compliance with Rule 47(5) of this court's rules.

2. Learned counsel Mr. Osoro of Osoro Juma & Co. Advocates requested the file to be placed before me for the *inter partes* hearing on the question of urgency.

3. Today 18<sup>th</sup> December 2014 learned counsel Mr. Osoro D.K. for the applicant and Mr. Muthama David holding brief for Mr. Gichuru for the respondent were in attendance. Mr. Osoro argued that the matter is urgent because the applicant's properties have been attached as demonstrated by the annexures in the applicant's supplementary affidavit. In addition Mr. Osoro urged that they intend to raise serious legal issues in support of their pending application in respect of which the certificate of urgency is sought, that is that the judgment sought to be executed is more than 13 years old, there has been no explanation as to why it took so long to realize it, they take issue with the execution because it arises from an undated and unsigned judgment. That if no reprieve is afforded to the applicant, he will be greatly prejudiced.

4. In response Mr. Muthama intimated to the Court that in the absence of a response to the applicants further affidavit the respondent was handicapped as regards their representations on the factual issues raised by the applicant in support of the certificate of urgency save that he was aware that the execution process has been set in motion by way of proclamation.

5. I have taken into consideration the rival arguments presented by either side I have also seen the proclamation dated 9<sup>th</sup> December 2012. I am therefore satisfied that there is threat of execution.

6. The applicant intends to take up the issue of the invalidity of the decree sought to be executed. He has given reasons as to why he needs to oppose the threatened execution. The merits of those matters are outside the ambit of this application as they touch on the application intended to be heard under a certificate of urgency.

7. In view of proof of existence of a threatened execution of a matter not within my knowledge as at the time of declining the certificate of urgency I am satisfied that there is a genuine request for the certification sought. I hereby reverse my order of 10.12.2014 declining certification and substitute it with an order that the applicant's application herein be certified agent.

**Dated and delivered at Nairobi this 19<sup>th</sup> day of December 2014.**

**R. N. NAMBUYE**

.....

**JUDGE OF APPEAL**

*I certify that this is a  
true copy of the original.*

**DEPUTY REGISTRAR**



[Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions.  
Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)