



Case Number:	Civil Application Nai. 90 of 2002
Date Delivered:	12 Feb 2003
Case Class:	Civil
Court:	Court of Appeal at Nairobi
Case Action:	Ruling
Judge:	Abdulrasul Ahmed Lakha, Richard Otieno Kwach, Moijo Matayia Ole Keiwua
Citation:	Charlotte Kamene Wandera v Peter Mutende Wandera [2003] eKLR
Advocates:	-
Case Summary:	-
Court Division:	Civil
History Magistrates:	-
County:	Nairobi
Docket Number:	-
History Docket Number:	102 of 1998
Case Outcome:	Notice of Appeal struck out
History County:	Nairobi
Representation By Advocates:	-
Advocates For:	-
Advocates Against:	-
Sum Awarded:	-

The information contained in the above segment is not part of the judicial opinion delivered by the Court. The metadata has been prepared by Kenya Law as a guide in understanding the subject of the judicial opinion. Kenya Law makes no warranties as to the comprehensiveness or accuracy of the information.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL  
AT NAIROBI  
(CORAM: KWACH, LAKHA & KEIWUA, JJ.A.)  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. NAI. 90 OF 2002**

**BETWEEN**

**CHARLOTTE KAMENE WANDERA .....APPLICANT**

**AND**

**PETER MUTENDE WANDERA .....RESPONDENT**

**(An application to strike out a Notice of Appeal in an  
intended appeal from a Judgment of the High Court of  
Kenya at Nairobi (Justice Aganyanya) dated 2nd May, 2001**

**in**

**H.C.D.C. NO. 102 OF 1998)**

**\*\*\*\*\***

**RULING OF THE COURT**

The respondent having failed to take any step after the filing of the Notice of Appeal (itself out of the prescribed time) the Notice of Appeal is struck out with costs including the costs of the application.

**Made at Nairobi this 12th day of February, 2003.**

**R.O. KWACH**

.....

**JUDGE OF APPEAL**

**A.A. LAKHA**

.....

**JUDGE OF APPEAL**

**M. KEIWUA**

.....

**JUDGE OF APPEAL**

I certify that this is

a true copy of the original.

**DEPUTY REGISTRAR**



While the design, structure and metadata of the Case Search database are licensed by [Kenya Law](#) under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#), the texts of the judicial opinions contained in it are in the [public domain](#) and are free from any copyright restrictions. Read our [Privacy Policy](#) | [Disclaimer](#)